

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/846,830	05/01/2001		Paul Andrew Moskowitz	YOR920000311 (1963-5013)	4970	
21254	7590	02/24/2005		EXAMINER		
MCGINN 8		PLLC OUSE ROAD	HOOSAIN	HOOSAIN, ALLAN		
SUITE 200	CORTIN	OODE NOTE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, V	A 2218	2-3817	2645			

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			30	MOSKOWITZ ET AL.					
			r	Art Unit					
		Allan Ho		2645					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress				
THE - Exterester - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exication. days, a reply within the statory period will apply and v I, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ABANDONI	imely filed ys will be considered time in the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>10 January 200</u>	<u>05</u> .						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is r	non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠ 5)□	Claim(s) 1-5,12 and 32-67 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,12 and 32-67 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the l	Examiner.							
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the three oath or declaration is objected to be	•	<u> </u>	•	` '				
,—	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	y the Examiner.	oto tilo uttaonou omo		10 102.				
	•								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	t(s)		_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	2 049)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) 🔲 Infor	re of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		⁻ O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 12,37-41,46-48,50-51,53-54,55-60,65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Riskin (US 4,817,129).

As to Claims 12,37-38,46-48,53-54,55-60,65, with respect to Figure 1, **Riskin** teaches a system for connecting a user to a telephone number, comprising:

a memory including program code stored therein (Figure 14, label 42); and

a processor connected to said memory for carrying out instructions in accordance with stored program code (Figure 1, label 16);

wherein said program code, when executed by said processor, causes said processor to:

receive from a caller an ambiguous phone address (Figure 14, label 40);

select a collision (an ambiguity resolving parameter) from a plurality of collisions (ambiguity resolving parameters) (Col. 16, lines 37-56);

collect confirmations (additional information) specified by said selected ambiguity resolving parameter (Col. 16, lines 44-53); and

determine, using said additional information, whether said phone address resolves to a telephone number (Col. 16, lines 61-62 and Figure 14, label 50).

As to Claims 39-41,50-51, Riskin teaches the method of Claim 37, wherein said plurality of ambiguity resolving parameters comprises a location of said caller (Col. 5, lines 37-50).

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-5,12,32-35,37-41,44,46-48,50-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stern et al. (US 2004/0132433).

As to Claims 1,61-64,66-67, with respect to Figures 1-5, Stern teaches a method for connecting a user to a telephone number, comprising:

receiving a phone address entered by a caller (P0052);

determining an entry modality device, from a plurality of entry modality devices, used by said caller to enter the received phone address (P0052);

decoding said received phone address according to the determined entry modality (P0055-P0057);

consulting a reference table using the decoded phone address, said reference table being periodically updated by a centralized master reference table (P0061-P0062, P0071); and connecting the caller to the telephone number that results from said consulting the reference table (P0057-P0058);

As to Claim 2, **Stern** teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the decoded phone address comprises an ambiguous phone address (P0077).

As to Claims 4-5 **Stern** teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said reference table comprises a lookup table (P0056).

As to Claim 3, **Stern** teaches the method of claim 2 wherein said step of consulting the reference table further includes:

consulting said table using additional information specified by an ambiguity resolving parameter, and

wherein said connecting the caller is only performed when a telephone number results from said step of consulting (P0077)

As to Claims 32-35 **Stern** teaches the method of Claim 1, wherein said plurality of entry modalities comprises a voice entry modality (P0052).

Application/Control Number: 09/846,830

Art Unit: 2645

As to Claims 12,37-38,44,46-48,53-54,55-60,65, with respect to Figures 1-5, **Stern** teaches a system for connecting a user to a telephone number, comprising:

a memory including program code stored therein (Figure 4, label 16); and

a processor connected to said memory for carrying out instructions in accordance with stored program code (Figure 4, label 24);

wherein said program code, when executed by said processor, causes said processor to: receive from a caller an ambiguous phone address (P0077);

select an ambiguity resolving parameter from a plurality of ambiguity resolving parameters (P0077);

collect additional information specified by said selected ambiguity resolving parameter (P0077); and

determine, using said additional information, whether said phone address resolves to a telephone number (P0078).

As to Claims 39-41,50-52, **Stern** teaches the method of Claim 37, wherein said plurality of ambiguity resolving parameters comprises a location of said caller (P0195).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,830

Art Unit: 2645

Page 6

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims

commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor

and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was

made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35

U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stern in view of

Yamakita (US 6,366,698).

As to Claim 36, Stern teaches the method of Claim 1, wherein said plurality of entry modalities

comprises:

Stern does not teach the following limitation:

"a handwriting entry modality"

Yamakita teaches handwritten entries for recognizing destinations to be dialed (Figure 6A

and Col. 2, lines 31-50). Since Stern and Yamakita are in analogous identification of

destination telephone number art, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

add handwritten capability to Stern's invention for identifying destination numbers as taught by

Yamakita's invention in order to provide users with choices for identifying their destinations.

8. Claims 42-43,45,49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stern in view of Hou et al. (US 5,325,421).

As to Claims 42-43,45,49 Stern teaches the method of Claim 1, wherein said plurality of entry modalities comprises:

Stern does not teach the following limitation:

"a voice print of said caller"

Hou teaches voice commands for recognizing destinations to be dialed (Col. 9, lines 9-21). Since Stern and Hou are in analogous identification of destination telephone number art, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add voice print capability to Stern's invention for identifying callers and destination numbers as taught by Hou's invention in order to provide users with choices for identifying their destinations.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5,12,32-67 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejections and the following:

With respect to the 35 USC 102 Rejections for Riskin, Examiner respectfully disagrees for the same reasons given in the 7/19/04 Office Action. In addition the arguments with respect to "resolving ambiguities between a plurality of parties corresponding to the same phone address" and others are not directed towards the claims.

Examiner respectfully invites Applicants to contact Examiner to discuss possible amendments for overcoming the prior art of record.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Smith et al. (US 6,404,876) teach resolving voice inputs from a caller to find destinations.

Bielby et al. (US 5,488,652) teach caller location inputs to determine destinations.

11. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 306-0377 (for customer service assistance)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Hoosain whose telephone number is (703) 305-4012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang, can be reached on (703) 305-4895.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

> Allan Hoosain **Primary Examiner** 2/10/05